

SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Minutes of the Environmental Services Portfolio Holder's Meeting held on
Tuesday, 29 August 2017 at 9.00 a.m.

Portfolio Holder: Mark Howell

Councillors in attendance:

Opposition spokesmen: Anna Bradnam

Officers:

Patrick Adams	Senior Democratic Services Officer
Gemma Barron	Head of Sustainable Communities and Wellbeing
Robert Lewis	Operational Manager
Michael Parsons	Waste Operations Manager
Rebecca Weymouth-Wood	Interim SSWS Waste Policy Manager

1. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None.

2. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

The minutes of the meeting held on 15 February 2017 were agreed as a correct record.

3. FUTURE APPROACH TO DRY RECYCLING COLLECTION

The Waste Operations Manager presented this report on the Council's future options for recycling. He explained that this authority collected paper separately whilst Cambridge City Council co-mingled their recycling. The Waste Policy Manager stated that two external assessments and an in-house analysis had narrowed the options to either continuing with the current position or co-mingling all recycling.

It was noted that this authority's vehicles were constructed to collect paper separately, whilst the City Council's vehicles were set-up to collect co-mingled waste and this made the Shared Service less efficient.

Performance

Councillor Anna Bradnam asked whether the number of complaints regarding paper caddies had increased since the Shared Waste Service had been set up. The Waste Operations Manager explained that the City Council's vehicles could not collect paper waste separately and so it was unlikely that performance had been affected by Shared Services. The Waste Policy Manager agreed to ascertain whether the number of complaints regarding the collection of paper recycling had increased since the Shared Waste Service had been set up.

It was noted that according to the Ricardo assessment recycling would increase from 53.5% to 53.8% if this authority switched to co-mingled recycling. The weight in some of the paper caddies had led to health and safety concerns.

Financial implications

It was understood that the price of recycled paper had been in decline, but was expected to stabilise. It was noted that there would be a "gate fee" for the processing of paper waste if the council decided to co-mingle its recycling. This fee would be fixed, whilst the income from paper recycling would fluctuate.

It was noted that the financial comparison on page 13 of the agenda indicated that co-mingled recycling would cost an extra £41,000 a year. However, the Waste Operations Manager explained that fewer vehicles would be required if the Council changed to co-mingling all its recycling collection, as there would be more room in the vehicles.

The Environmental Services Portfolio Holder explained that from the information he had received he supported a co-mingled waste collection service. However, he insisted that Cabined should have the results of the latest figures regarding the seven year capital investment profile for the purchasing of vehicles, before being asked to make a decision.

The Environmental Services Portfolio Holder

RECOMMENDED THAT CABINET Agree to a co-mingled waste collection for the District, providing that the seven year profile supports the conclusion with regard to the financial implications detailed in the report.

4. **COMMUNITY AWARDS 2018**

The Head of Sustainable Communities and Wellbeing presented this report which invited the Portfolio Holder to comment on the planning of the Community Awards 2018. It was understood that in the past the village hero category had received the most nominations.

The Environmental Services Portfolio Holder commended the previous Community Awards ceremony, which had been run efficiently. He made the following points:

- The judges should be able to amend the categories to ensure the most worthy nominations were recognised.
- Consideration should be given to a "lifetime contribution" award.
- The Council Chamber was a suitable venue and it was suggested that not inviting all the nominators would address capacity concerns.
- Councillor Anna Bradnam should be a judge.
- The Elite Athlete grants should also be presented at the ceremony.

Councillor Anna Bradnam suggested that sometimes an individual could be nominated when a team award was more appropriate. It was agreed that the nomination form should be amended to ensure the nominee had consented to the nomination.

The Environmental Services Portfolio Holder **NOTED** the report.

5. **PROPOSED FEES AND CHARGES FOR FOOD HYGIENE REINSPECTIONS FOR 2017/18**

The Operations Manager presented this report which proposed a new fee for Food Hygiene rating re-inspections. He explained that this would allow premises, which had received a disappointing score, to pay for a re-inspection. The charge of £90 was to cover costs. It was noted that the Council could not make a profit from this service and so could not levy a higher charge.

It was noted that reviews were typically carried out every one or two years, with low scoring establishments receiving more frequent reviews.

The Environmental Services Portfolio Holder

AGREED to confirm a charge of £90 for officers re-inspecting food premises as part

of the Food Hygiene Ratings system.

6. FORWARD PLAN

It was suggested that a report should be sent to this Portfolio Holder's meeting on how low risk businesses (category E) were inspected as part of the alternative inspection strategy. The Operations Manager explained that inspections could be carried out as a result of a complaint.

A second report was requested to confirm what the Council's modern day slavery good practices were and how Environmental Health was following them.

7. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

It was noted that the next meeting would be held when necessary.

The Meeting ended at 10.05 a.m.
